AUDILOGIC EVALUATION

CLIENT : 
BIRTHDATE : 
ADDRESS : 
PHONE : 
RESP. PARTY : 
INFORMANT : 
REFERRAL SOURCE : 
EVALUATION DATE :
REPORT DATE :

The following is an example of the report format for audiologic reports. The format is modified for some audiologic cases, i.e., Medicare, OSHA, children, etc. Examples of a standard report, Medicare, report and OSHA baseline and annual report are given.

1st paragraph - CLIENT INFORMATION

CASE HISTORY INFORMATION
HEARING HANDICAP SCALE RESULTS
HEARING AID INFORMATION
STATEMENT CONCERNING SPEECH AND LANGUAGE SKILLS

2nd paragraph - TEST RESULTS

PURE TONE AUDIOMETRY
SPEECH RECOGNITION THRESHOLD
WORD RECOGNITION
OTOSCOPIC INSPECTION
IMMITTANCE
AIDED INFORMATION
OTHER TESTS

3rd paragraph – IMPLICATIONS/PROGNOSIS & CLIENT/FAMILY EDUCATION
4th paragraph - RECOMMENDATIONS

Submitted by,

(Audiologist’s Name, Credentials) (Name)
Clinical Audiologist Graduate Clinician

cc: (Check release form for names and dates)
Ludwig Von Beethoven was seen for an initial hearing evaluation through the Appalachian State University Communication Disorders Clinic on January 1, 2010. Mr. Beethoven stated that he had experienced a hearing loss for several years, which interfered with his writing music. On the Hearing Handicap Inventory, Mr. Beethoven scored 56 out of a possible 100 points, indicating moderate communication difficulties because of a hearing problem. No speech/language problems were noted during the client interview.

Conventional pure tone audiometric tests confirmed a moderate to severe sensorineural hearing loss at the right ear and a moderately severe to severe sensorineural hearing loss at the left ear. Speech recognition thresholds (SRT) were obtained having Mr. Beethoven repeat spondaic words presented by monitored live voice. The levels of 50 dB HL at the right ear and 65 dB HL at the left ear were in a moderate and moderately severe hearing loss range respectively and were consistent with the pure tone test results, supporting the reliability of these data. Word recognition ability was obtained at 80 dB HL using the NU-6 Word List presented via recorded materials. Results were excellent as evidenced by scores of 92% at the right ear and 96% at the left ear, indicating no significant difficulty with suprathreshold word recognition. Otoscopic inspection was unremarkable. Immittance audiometry, including tympanometry and ipsilateral acoustic reflexes, was indicative of normal middle ear function bilaterally.
The results of the evaluation were discussed with Mr. Beethoven. Since he had excellent results for word recognition, he was a good candidate for amplification. He was reminded that hearing aids do not "cure" a hearing loss and he will have to continue to make modifications in his environment to promote good listening conditions.

The following recommendations were made:

1. Mr. Beethoven should consider purchasing binaural hearing aids from the hearing aid dispenser of his choice.

2. Annual hearing evaluations.

Submitted by,

Mary Ruth Sizer, MA, CCC-A, FAAA
Clinical Audiologist

Susie Smith
Graduate Clinician

cc: Mr. Beethoven
Dr. Robert Horn
<Date>

Dr. *

<address>

Dear Dr. *:

Thank you for allowing us to see your patient, * (DOB*), for a hearing evaluation. He was evaluated on <date>. Following are the results of the test.

Pure tone audiometric tests confirmed *. Speech recognition thresholds (SRT) were obtained having * repeat spondaic words presented by monitored live voice. The levels of * dB HL at the right ear and * dB HL at the left ear were in a * hearing loss range and were consistent with the pure tone test results, supporting the reliability of these data. Word recognition ability was obtained at 80 dB HL using the NU 6 Word List presented as recorded speech. Results were * as evidenced by a score of *% at the right ear and *% at the left ear, indicating no difficulty with high-intensity suprathreshold word recognition. Otoscopic inspection was unremarkable. Immittance audiometry, including tympanometry and ipsilateral acoustic reflexes, was indicative of * bilaterally.

The results of the hearing evaluation were explained to *. The current hearing loss poses difficulty*. Amplification should *.
The following recommendations were made:

1. *

Submitted by,

Mary Ruth Sizer, MA, CCC-A
Clinical Audiologist

<Your Name>
Graduate Clinician

cc: *<patient>
August 6, 2010

Dr. Robert Smith  
1234 Doctors Drive  
Boone, NC 28607

Dear Dr. Smith:

Thank you for allowing us to see your patient, John Doe (DOB 8/6/33), for a hearing evaluation. He was evaluated on August 5, 2010. Following are the results of the test.

Pure tone audiometric tests confirmed a slight to moderately severe hearing loss at the right ear and normal hearing to a moderately severe sensorineural hearing loss at the left ear. Speech recognition thresholds (SRT) were obtained having Mr. Doe repeat spondaic words presented by monitored live voice. The levels of 35 dB HL at the right ear and 35 dB HL at the left ear were in a mild hearing loss range and were consistent with the pure tone test results, supporting the reliability of these data. Word recognition ability was obtained at 80 dB HL using the NU 6 Word List presented as recorded speech. Results were fair as evidenced by a score of 72% at the right ear and 84% at the left ear, indicating mild difficulty with high-intensity suprathreshold word recognition. Otoscopic inspection was unremarkable. Immittance audiometry, including tympanometry and ipsilateral acoustic reflexes, was indicative of normal middle ear function bilaterally.

The results of the hearing evaluation were explained to Mr. Doe. The current hearing loss poses difficulty in the presence of background noise, over the course of distance, and when turned away from the sound source. Amplification should help him with any hearing difficulties.
The following recommendations were made:

1. Consider usage of binaural amplification.
2. Hearing retest in one year.

Submitted by,

Mary Ruth Sizer, MA, CCC-A, FAAA                     Susie Smith
Clinical Audiologist                                   Graduate Clinician

cc: Mr. John Doe
OSHA HEARING CONSERVATION PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYEE</th>
<th>EVALUATION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIRTHDATE</td>
<td>REPORT DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* was seen for a hearing test as a part of the Appalachian State University Hearing Conservation Program. During the past six months * had experienced *.

Pure tone audiometry indicated *. When compared to the baseline test measurement, *.

The following recommendations were made:

1. Hearing retest in *.
2. Use of ear protectors when around loud sounds.

Submitted by,

(Audiologist’s Name, Credentials)  (Name)
Audiologist  Graduate Clinician
OSHA HEARING CONSERVATION PROGRAM

EMPLOYEE: John Doe  EVALUATION DATE: 12/09/10
BIRTHDATE: 10/23/75 REPORT DATE: 12/12/10
DEPARTMENT: Motor Pool

John Doe was seen for a baseline hearing test as a part of the Appalachian State University Hearing Conservation Program. During the past six months he had experienced exposure to loud noise in his job at the Motor Pool.

Pure tone audiometry indicated normal hearing acuity bilaterally. Speech recognition thresholds (SRT) were obtained having Mr. Doe repeat spondaic words presented by monitored live voice. The levels of 5 dB HL at the right ear and 10 dB HL at the left ear were in a normal hearing range and were consistent with the pure tone test results, supporting the reliability of these data. Word recognition ability was obtained at 80 dB HL using the NU-6 Word List presented via recorded materials. Results were excellent as evidenced by scores of 92% at the right ear and 96% at the left ear, indicating no significant difficulty with suprathreshold word recognition. Otoscopic inspection was unremarkable. Immittance audiometry, including tympanometry and ipsilateral acoustic reflexes, was indicative of normal middle ear function bilaterally.

The following recommendations were made:

1. Hearing retest in one year.
2. Use of ear protectors when around loud sounds.

Submitted by,

Mary Ruth Sizer, MA, CCC-A, FAAA  Susie Smith
Clinical Audiologist  Graduate Clinician

cc. John Doe
OSHA HEARING CONSERVATION PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYEE</th>
<th>Terry Smith</th>
<th>EVALUATION DATE</th>
<th>12/06/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIRTHDATE</td>
<td>07/02/61</td>
<td>REPORT DATE</td>
<td>12/12/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>Residence Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terry Smith was seen for a hearing test as a part of the Appalachian State University Hearing Conservation Program. During the past six months she had experienced no ear or hearing problems.

Pure tone audiometry indicated normal hearing decreasing to a moderate hearing loss bilaterally. When compared to the baseline test measurement, there was a decrease of 10 dB at 6000 Hz at the right ear.

The following recommendations were made:

1. Hearing retest in one year.
2. Use of ear protectors when around loud sounds.

Submitted by,

Mary Ruth Sizer, MA, CCC-A, FAAA
Clinical Audiologist

Susie Smith
Graduate Clinician

cc. Terry Smith